

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/802,240
Applicant : Paolo Cavassini et al.
Filed : March 17, 2004
Docket No. : BUG5-36500


Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT/REPLY

Sir:

Applicant has submitted an RCE and an Information Disclosure Statement and hereby requests reconsideration of the application. It is believed that the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on July 2, 2010 is now proper. It is believed that the concerns expressed in the Notice of Improper Request for Continued Examination (RCE), copy attached, mailed on July 12, 2010, have now been resolved.

Respectfully submitted,
PEARNE & GORDON LLP

By 
John P. Murtaugh, Reg. No. 64226

1801 East 9th Street, Suite 1200
Cleveland, OH 44114-3108
Phone: 216-579-1700

Date: July 14, 2010




UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

86378 c 07/12/2010

Pearne & Gordon LLP
1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, OH 44114-3108

Paper No.

Application No.: 10/802,240 	Date Mailed: 07/12/2010
First Named Inventor: Cavassini, Paolo,	Examiner: SASAN, ARADHANA
Attorney Docket No.: BUG5-36500	Art Unit: 1615
Confirmation No.: 5144	Filing Date: 03/17/2004

Please find attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

NOTICE OF IMPROPER REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)	Application No. 10/802,240	Applicant(s) CAVASSINI ET AL.	
		Art Unit 1624	Date Mailed:

The request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 filed on 02 July, 2010 is improper for reason(s) indicated below:

- ☐ Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application for a design patent. Applicant may wish to consider filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d). An RCE cannot be treated as a CPA.
- ☐ Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application that was filed before June 8, 1995. Applicant may wish to consider filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).
- ☐ Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application unless prosecution in the application is closed. If the RCE was accompanied by a reply to a non-final Office action, the reply will be entered and considered under 37 CFR 1.111. If the RCE was not accompanied by a reply, the time period set forth in the last Office action continues to run from the mailing date of that action.
- ☐ The request was not filed before payment of the issue fee, and no petition under 37 CFR 1.313 was granted. If this application has not yet issued as a patent, applicant may wish to consider filing either a petition under 37 CFR 1.313 to withdraw this application from issue, or a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).
- ☐ The request was not filed before abandonment of the application. The application was abandoned, or proceedings terminated on _____. Applicant may wish to consider filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 to revive this abandoned application.
- ☐ The request was not accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) as required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the final Office action or notice of allowance continues to run from the mailing date of that action or notice.
- ☒ The request was not accompanied by a submission as required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the final Office action or notice of allowance continues to run from the mailing date of that action or notice.

Note: A continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) cannot be filed in a utility or plant application. A CPA filed in a utility or plant application that has a filing date **on or after June 8, 1995** will be treated as an RCE under 37 CFR 1.114. The request for a CPA in the instant application, however, has been treated as an improper RCE for the reason(s) indicated above.

A copy of this Notice MUST be returned with the reply.

Direct any questions concerning this notice to

/PAUL M. STANBACK/, Technology Center 1600

Telephone Number: 571-272-0675